

## FSU Council on Research and Creativity

### Criteria for Judging Distinguished University Scholar Nomination Binders

#### Reviewer Criteria:

Below are the criteria each member of the Distinguished University Scholar (DUS) Review Committee will use to assist them in (a) critiquing, (b) providing useful feedback to the PI, and (c) determining an overall score for the nomination binder.

- Does the candidate show evidence of outstanding scholarly productivity and/or creative activity?
- Does the candidate show evidence of national and international visibility in endeavors the emphasize research and/or creative activity?
- Does the candidate show evidence of recognition and honors related to research and/or creative activity?
- Does the candidate demonstrate that his/her research has had significant impact on the on-going research/scholarship and/or graduate student training in their respective units?

#### Program Coordinator Criteria:

Below are the criteria the CRC Program Coordinator will use to review each nomination binder. If any of these criteria are not met, the nomination binder will not be reviewed by the Distinguished University Scholar Review Committee and will not be eligible for funding. Reviewers will not need to review the following items:

1. Is the nominee eligible to apply for a CRC Distinguished University Scholar?
  - a. A non-tenured or non-tenure seeking employee at FSU, actively engaged in research and/or scholar activity?
  - b. The nominee does NOT already hold the title of Distinguished University Scholar?
2. Has the nominator/nominee correctly completed all of the required forms?
  - a. Has a **Letter of Nomination** from a FSU faculty member, administrator, self-nominating letter been properly submitted? Does it include a 2-page CV of the letter writer?
  - b. Have no more than three **External Letters** been submitted written within 18 months prior to the date of the Call of Nominations? Do they include a 2-page CV for each letter writer? Is a statement of qualifications included with each letter? Does at least one of these individuals have a direct knowledge or relationship to the nominee?
  - c. Have letters from the nominee's **Department Chair/Director and Dean** been submitted?

- d. Has a **Curriculum Vita** been provided? Is it current, concise and provide full documentation of grants, awards or accomplishments in the field of expertise, publications, exhibitions and performances including dates, locations, page numbers, co-authorship, publication status? Is it greater than 5 pages?
- 3. Did the nominator submit the nomination binder in time to meet the submission deadline?