

## FSU Council on Research and Creativity

### Criteria for Judging Distinguished Research Professor Nomination Binders

#### Reviewer Criteria:

Below are the criteria each member of the Distinguished Research Professor (DRP) Review Committee will use to assist them in (a) critiquing, (b) providing useful feedback to the PI, and (c) determining an overall score for the nomination binder.

- Does the candidate show evidence of outstanding scholarly productivity and/or creative activity?
- Does the candidate show evidence of national and international visibility in endeavors that emphasize research and/or creative activity?
- Does the candidate show evidence of recognition and honors related to research and/or creative activity?
- Does the candidate indicate how his/her research informs his/her teaching at both the graduate and undergraduate levels?

#### Program Coordinator Criteria:

Below are the criteria the CRC Program Coordinator will use to review each nomination binder. If any of these criteria are not met, the nomination binder will not be reviewed by the Distinguished Research Professor Review Committee and will not be eligible for funding. Reviewers will not need to review the following items:

1. Is the nominee eligible to apply for a CRC Distinguished Research Professor?
  - a. A full-time, full Professor who, as of August 2015, has completed at least 5 years in the rank of Professor at FSU?
  - b. The nominee does NOT already hold an endowed chair, the Robert O. Lawton Award, the Daisy Parker Flory Award, or the Distinguished Research Professor?
2. Has the nominator/nominee correctly completed all of the required forms?
  - a. Has a **Letter of Nomination** from a FSU faculty member, administrator, self-nominating letter been properly submitted? Does it include a 2-page CV of the letter writer?
  - b. Have no more than three **External Letters** been submitted written within 18 months prior to the date of the Call of Nominations? Do they include a 2-page CV for each letter writer? Is a statement of qualifications included with each letter? Does at least one of these individuals have a direct knowledge or relationship to the nominee?
  - c. Have letters from the nominee's **Department Chair/Director and Dean** been submitted?

- d. Has a **Curriculum Vita** been provided? Is it current, concise and provide full documentation of grants, awards, publications, exhibitions and performances including dates, locations, page numbers, co-authorship, publication status?
  - e. Has a list of present and former **Graduate Student Advisees** been provided?
  - f. Has a list of **Contract and Grant Awards** (with dates and amounts) been provided?
  - g. Has a list of **Invited Talks** been provided?
3. Did the nominator submit the nomination binder in time to meet the submission deadline?