FSU Council on Research and Creativity

Criteria for Judging

**Distinguished Research Professor Nomination Binders**

**-- Committee Reviewer Criteria –**

Below are the criteria each member of the Distinguished University Scholar (DUS) Review Committee will use to assist them in (a) critiquing the nomination and (b) determining an overall score for the nomination binder.

* Does the candidate show evidence of outstanding scholarly productivity and/or creative activity?
* Does the candidate show evidence of national and international visibility in endeavors the emphasize research and/or creative activity?
* Does the candidate show evidence of recognition and honors related to research and/or creative activity?
* Does the candidate demonstrate that his/her research has had significant impact on the on-going research/scholarship and/or graduate student training in their respective units?

**Scoring Scale**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Impact** | **Score** | **Descriptor** | **Additional Guidance or Strengths/Weaknesses\*** |
| High | **1** | Exceptional | Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses |
| **2** | Outstanding | Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses |
| **3** | Excellent | Very strong with only some minor weaknesses |
| Medium | **4** | Very Good | Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses |
| **5** | Good | Strong but with at least one moderate weakness |
| **6** | Satisfactory | Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses |
| Low | **7** | Fair | Some strengths but with at least one major weakness |
| **8** | Marginal | A few strengths and a few major weaknesses |
| **9** | Poor | Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses |

\* Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact

 Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens impact

 Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits impact

**-- Program Coordinator Criteria --**

Below are the criteria the CRC Program Coordinator will use to review each nomination binder. If any of these criteria are not met, the nomination binder will not be reviewed by the Distinguished University Scholar Review Committee and will not be eligible for funding. Reviewers will not need to review the following items:

* Eligibility
	+ Is the nominee a non-tenured or non-tenure seeking employee at FSU, actively engaged in research and/or scholar activity?
	+ Does the nominee does already hold the title of Distinguished University Scholar?
* Nomination Submission
	+ Has the nominator/nominee correctly completed all of the required forms?
		- Has a **Letter of Nomination** from a FSU faculty member, administrator, self-nominating letterbeen properly submitted? Does it include a 2-page CV of the letter writer?
		- Have no more than three **External Letters** been submitted written within 24 months prior to the date of the Call of Nominations? Do they include a 2-page CV for each letter writer? Is a statement of qualifications paragraph included with each letter? Does at least one of these individuals have a direct knowledge or relationship to the nominee?
		- Have letters from the nominee’s **Department Chair/Director and Dean** been submitted?
		- Has a **Curriculum Vita** been provided? Is it current, concise, and does it provide full documentation of grants, awards or accomplishments in the field of expertise, publications, exhibitions and performances including dates, locations, page numbers, co-authorship, publication status?
* Did the nominator submit the nomination binder in time to meet the submission deadline? Did the Chair(s) and Dean(s) approve the nomination by their approval deadline?