



COUNCIL ON RESEARCH & CREATIVITY

CRITERIA FOR REVIEWING

COUNCIL ON FACULTY RESEARCH SUPPORT (COFRS) GRANT PROPOSALS

-- COMMITTEE REVIEWER CRITERIA --

Below are the criteria each member of the CRC Council on Faculty Research Support (COFRS) Review Committee will use to assist them in (a) critiquing a proposal, (b) providing useful feedback to the PI, and (c) determining an overall score for the proposal.

Keep in mind that each section of the proposal text should be written in clear, concise language so that reviewers from any discipline will be able to understand what is being stated.

- **Project/Issue and Goals:**
 - Is the issue the project will address important/significant in the PI's area of research?
 - Are the goals/objectives of the project clear?
- **Research Methods/Creative Activities:**
 - Are the research methods and/or creative activities appropriate in light of the goals/objectives of the project?
- **Significance of Intended Outcomes:**
 - Are the intended project outcomes of potential importance/significance?
- **Anticipated External Funding:**
 - Is it likely that the proposed research or creative activity will enhance the prospects for external funding?
 - Does the plan for seeking external funding seem reasonable?
- **Schedule of Project Activities:**
 - Does the schedule/timeline of project activities seem realistic?
- **Budget:**
 - In reference to the Project Goals/Objectives and the Proposed Research Methods/Creative Activities, does the project budget seem reasonable?
 - Are the supplies/materials, travel, and/or other budgeted items clearly detailed and appropriate for the work proposed?
- **Professional Obligations:**
 - Are the PI's other professional obligations during the award period likely to interfere with his/her ability to successfully complete the project?
 - Does the PI clearly explain any existing or proposed funding that would overlap with this award period?

Scoring Scale

IMPACT	SCORE	DESCRIPTOR	ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE OR STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES*
High	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Medium	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

* Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact

Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens impact

Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits impact

-- PROGRAM MANAGER CRITERIA --

Below are the criteria the CRC Program Manager will use to review each proposal. If any of these criteria are not met, the proposal will be disqualified from competition and will not be reviewed by the CRC COFRS Review Committee or be eligible for funding. The CRC COFRS Review Committee will not need to review the following items:

- **Eligibility**
 - Is the PI eligible to apply for a CRC Council on Faculty Research Support Grant?
 - Has the PI received COFRS in the past three years?
- **Proposal Submission**
 - Has the PI correctly completed all of the required forms?
 - Was the Proposal Transmittal form properly completed within the portal?
 - Does the Proposal text include all of the required sections? Is each section properly titled and numbered? Is the length of the proposal text no more than 8 pages, excluding references and appendices?
 - Have any specialized Research Compliance Forms (animal or Human Subjects, conflict of interest, hazardous materials, etc.) been indicated and/or uploaded as required?
 - Has the Past, Current, and Pending Grants section of the portal been properly completed?
 - Has the Proposal Budget been properly completed? Is the proposed use of the award funds acceptable in light of the funding rules for this grant program?
 - Has the Curriculum Vitae been properly completed?
 - Did the PI submit the proposal in time to meet the submission deadline? Did the Chair(s) and Dean(s) approve the proposal by their approval deadline?