Florida State University Division of Sponsored Research PROPOSAL TRANSMITTAL FORM

Shaded area	s are reserved. See for	m instructions at h	ttp://www.res	earch.fsu.edu/c	contractsgrants/form	s.html	
PROPOSAL IDENTIFIERS: SRA Log #	¢ 01	MNI ID's:					
1. Select administering business un	nit: 🗌 FSU01 (FS	U Sponsored Re	esearch)		I (FSU Research	Foundation)
DEADLINE INFORMATION							
2. Is there a sponsor deadline?	Yes 🗌 No						
If yes, Sponsor Deadline: Date: 11	/13/17 Time:	Time Zone:	Electronic Electronic	or D Paper	; Destmark or	Receipt	
3. Response to Solicitation #:		s	olicitation UF	L: https://www	.research.fsu.edu/re	esearch-offic	es/oc/gap/
4. If there is no sponsor deadline, P	I's requested submission	on/completion date	:				
5. Proposal Contact (if different fro	m PI) Fill in contact info	ormation below:			r		
Contact Name:		P	HONE #:		EMAIL:		
6. PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	RINFORMATION Se	e page 3 for addition	onal investiga	tors and appro	vals.		
PI NAME:		P	HONE #:		EMAIL:		
7. SPONSOR: FSU Researc	h Foundation						
						Sponsor ID	
8. FEDERAL FLOW-THRU: Yes	No. If Yes, Federal	agency where fund	ds originated:			Sponsor ID	CFDA
9. PROPOSAL TITLE (as submitted	to sponsor):						
10. PROPOSAL TYPE: New	Continuation Rei	newal 🔲 Suppler	nent 🗌 Rev	vision			
11. PROJECT DATES: Star	t: E <u>nd:</u>						
12. PROJECT LOCATION: On-	Campus (non-NHMFL)	Off-Campus (r	non-NHMFL)	NHMFL (O	n-Campus) 🗌 NHI	WFL (Off-Car	npus)
13. F&A INFORMATION: Rate: 0.00 % Base: MD	TD 🛛 N/A 🗌 SLFR	If F&A Rate prop written policy or v	osed is less tha voluntarily waive	in the <mark>Federally-n</mark> ed by FSU?	egotiated rate, is the re	duction manda /oluntary	ted by sponsor's
	Research	Other Sponsored	Activity	Inst	ruction		
		MAG				1.11	
SRA Use Only		ONMAG					
			(Core)				
PROPOSED COSTS							
15. Total Requested from Sponsor	\$ 37,127	Attach detailed b	udgets for all	proposed cost	S.		
16. Total FSU Cost Sharing	\$	□ Voluntary □	Required by	Sponsor Attac	ch FSU C/S Commitm	ient Form & d	etailed budget.
17. Total Third-Party Match	\$	Attach Third-Part	y C/S Comm	itment Form &	detailed budget.		
18. PROJECT DEPARTMENT: of the project if awarded. This Dept	Identify the dept. respo D will be used in the bu	onsible for financial dget chartfield com	management	t Dept Name:		DeptID:	
19. REPORTING CREDIT AND and distribution of F&A. Allocate cre the department named in block 18.	dit using whole number	ISTRIBUTION B s only. Sum of creater	Y DEPART dit distribution	MENT: This dan must equal 10	ata is used for institu 00%. This is a requir	utional report	ng purposes if it duplicates
Dept Name:			Cre	dit DeptID:		Distribution:	
Dept Name: Dept Name:			Cre	dit DeptID:		Distribution:	
			Cre	dit DeptID:		Distribution:	%
Dept Name:			Cre	dit DeptID:		Distribution:	%
Dept Name:			Cre	dit DeptID:		Distribution:	%

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATIO	NC							
20. Non-Faculty Support: This data	is collected for departm	ent use. Id	entify the total num	ber of the follow	wing personnel su	pported b	y this grant	l
(numbers should be based on he		AR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	3 YEA	R4	YEA	R 5
Total # Undergradua	ate Students:	4	12/072	- TEAUCO			1070	110
Total # Gradua	ate Students:							_
Total # Postdoctora	I Associates:							
Total # Non-Students/Non-Ran	ked Faculty :	1						
CERTIFICATIONS								_
Do any of the following apply to this pr	oject? Please provide a	ttachments	when applicable:					
21. Vertebrate Animals Protoco	ol #	Attac	h ASU Form 🗌				🛛 Yes 🛛	No
22. Human Subjects							Yes 🗌	No
23. [reserved; leave blank]		_			_			A.L.
24. DNA/RNA USe								NO
26. Hazardous Chemicals								No
27. Select Agents							Yes 🕅	No
28. Nanomaterials						-t-i	Yes 🛛	No
29. Marine Lab (SRA will send a copy	of proposal to the Direc	tor of the F	SUCML.)			[Yes 🛛	No
30. Compressed Air Diving (ADP) (SR	A will send a copy of p	oposal to th	e Chair of the Dive	e Control Board	& the ADP	1	🛛 Yes 🛛	No
31. Dual Compensation						- <u> </u>	Yes 🕅	No
32. Workshops/Conferences						i	Yes 🛛	No
33. If 32 is Yes, will fees be colle	cted?					ī	Yes 🗌	No
34 . If 33 is Yes, is the dept collect	ting the fees a Certifie	d Cash Han	dling Site?			[Yes	No
35. If 32 is Yes, will Continuing E	ducation Units (CEU's)	be issued's						No
36. Are Subcontract(s) and/or consult If yes, is more than 50% of the If yes and they are named, plea	ant(s) proposed? award being subcontra ise provide budget, sco	cted out?	Yes No.	itment from eac	ch, as applicable.			
37 . Will income, other than payments	from the sponsor, be g	enerated as	a result of this pro	oject? (aka, Pro	gram Income suc	h r		No
as registration fees, sales of prod	ucts, etc.)							NO
38 . Is this project is continuation of a	previous project? If yes	, enter Proj	ect ID:		Awd %		lYes ⊠	No
39 . Will additional resources such as	animal or non-animal	space, equi	pment, utility servic	ce, etc., be nee	ded to conduct the	nis		
following:	rientiy available to you			e proposar? II	yes, complete t			
Bosource Boguested:			Estimated Cor	ot-		1	Yes 🖾	NO
Requested From:			Request A	Approved:				
40 Will NHMEL facilities be used to co	onduct any part of this r	roject?			÷		Yes 🕅	No
41 MATRICIII ATION and/or TIII			ONLY ONE) Waiy	er 1 is the defa	ult if no grad sala	rv charge		
					iuit ii no grad sala			
			IVER 3	or all tuition of	atudanta naid		IVER 4	4
(1) Charge the project all matriculation fees for qualifying	Waiver Allocation will	An alteri	rate source will cov	t The dept is re	students paid	nav only	the matric	ulation
graduate assistants and out-of-state	cover all tuition of	processi	ng departmental bi	illings to pay tui	tion for all	fee for g	raduate	alation
tuition for Eng majors paid from	students paid or	students	paid from this proj	ject. If the dept	does not	assistan	ts, even if	
project funds; (2) No qualifying grad	supported by this	process	a departmental bill	ing, the tuition	will be charged	enginee	ring majors	are
students proposed; or (3) Grad	proposed project.	College/	School associated	with the studen	e nt's maior.	paid from	n this proje	ct.
42. KEYWORDS		conega						
Enter as many as desired, but at least of	one is required:		Muscle stretching	q, peripheral a	rterv disease, ar	kle dorsi	flexion spl	int.
View Proposal Keywords at:	C		endothelium, low	ver limb blood	flow			Ĩ
. If desired keyword is not on list, you n	nay enter suggested ad	ditions.						
43. CONFLICT OF INTEREST			3C			1		
The PI is aware that a partic	ipating faculty, staf	f, student	, or partnering e	entity has an	actual,			
potential, or perceived confli	ct of interest as de	scribed ir	n FSU's Conflict	t of Interest	Policy. If "Yes		Yes 🛛 I	No
is checked, review and follow	w the applicable co	nflict of ir	nterest disclosu	re procedure	to disclose			
the conflict.								

"Investigator" means the principal investigator, co-principal investigators, and any other person who is responsible for the design, conduct or reporting of the research or educational activities funded or proposed for funding by the applicable funding agencies. Investigators may include subrecipient investigators, contractors, consultants, collaborators, undergraduate and graduate students, and post-docs. A list of non-PHS agencies who have adopted PHS regulations can be found at http://nrc59.nas.edu/pub/fcoi_agencies_phs_regs.html.

Each signer below certifies that:

- He/she has reviewed this proposal and approves of this activity;
- Cost sharing funds, if required, will be made available when the project is funded;
- Office, laboratory, or any other space including non-animal space or space for animals, if appropriate, particularly associated with this project is available; and
- He/she has read and understood FSU's Investigator Financial Disclosure policy and FSU's Conflict of Interest policy and all required disclosures have been made.
- If this proposal is requesting funding directly or indirectly from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), he/she has read and understood the NIH
 Public Access Policy and agrees to comply with its requirements.

44. INVESTIGATOR APPROVALS

This data is collected for department use. Allocate credit using **whole** numbers. Each investigator must receive a minimum of 1% credit. Sum of all allocations must equal 100%. This information is not intended for allocation of credit for institutional reporting purposes or indirect cost distribution (see Block 19). Use the Proposal Transmittal Form Continuation Page if needed.

	ROLE	INVESTIGATOR NAME	EMPLID	Appointed as Post Doc or Grad Student?	CREDIT Min 1%		DATE
Line 1	PI (from pg 1)		000104986	PD or GS	50 %		
Line 2	Co-Pl		000109180	PD or GS	50 %	And a strength	
Line 3	Co-Pl			PD or GS	%	01-0	
Line 4	Co-Pl			PD or GS	%	*	
Line 5	Co-Pl			PD or GS	%		

45. EFFORT COMMITMENTS

This proposal Does or Does Not contain effort commitments by Key Personnel. See the Effort Commitment Policy at http://www.research.fsu.edu/media/1463/policy-7a-9.docx.

46. CHAIF	R and DEAN APPROVALS for above in	nvestigators:		
Approvals for Lines in Block 45		DATE	DEAN GIGNATURE	DATE
Line 1	p			1
Line 2	and the second second	1. 1. 1. 1.	anone	1
Line 3				
Line 4				
Line 5				
47. ADDIT	IONAL NAMED FACULTY APPROVAL	S		
16 41-1-		a dial and a state and a state of the state	and the difference of the Direction of Direction	and the different sector in a sector of the

If this proposal names individual FSU faculty who will contribute to this project but are <u>not</u> identified as the PI or a Co-PI, list those individuals here and obtain their signature along with the signatures of their chair and dean. These faculty members will not receive any reporting credit for this project. Use the Proposal Transmittal Form Continuation Page if needed.

		INVESTIGATOR		CHAIR		DEAN	
NAMED FACULTY	DEPT NAME	SIGNATURE	Date	SIGNATURE	Date	SIGNATURE	Date
			+		++		1
					1 1		
			-		1		
					- I		
					1		
	APPROVED FOR VPR (initials/Date):	NSE Code:		Tuno of Pos	areh:		
FOR SRA INTERNAL				i ype of Resi			
USE ONLY		NSF		🗌 🗌 Bas	sic 🗌 Apj	olied 🗌 Developr	nent

48.	SBIR	/STTR	ATTR	IBUTE:
-----	------	-------	------	---------------

SBIR I (Small Business Innovation Research I)

SBIR II (Small Business Innovation Research II) STTR II (Small Business Technology Transfer II)

49. OMNI PROPOSAL RESOURCES & POST-AWARD PROJECT TEAM

The PI and Co-PI's listed on page 3, and other users listed below will be added to Proposal Resources for read-only access to the OMNI Proposal record.

If the proposal is awarded, the Project Team will be set up as follows:

Project Spending Authority for non-travel transactions:

- PI will automatically have expenditure authority for all non-travel financial transactions.
- Co-PIs will not have expenditure authority on the Project unless specifically authorized below.
- SP Managers with ePRO means the user will have expenditure authority for all non-travel financial transactions, including the ability to approve requisitions in OMNI.
- SP Managers w/o ePRO means the user will have expenditure authority for all non-travel financial transactions, except the ability to approve requisitions in OMNI.
- Dept Rep means the user will have no post-award expenditure authority. Users with this role on the Proposal will only have access to view proposal information.

Project Spending Authority for travel transactions:

• Only one user is allowed to approve travel for a project. The PI will be made the default travel approver unless an alternate is listed below. Note that the Project Travel Approver cannot approve his/her own travel transactions. The travel approver role is "Project Manger" which is different from a "Sponsored Project Manger (SP Manager)."

Co-Pls with Spending Authority:

SP Managers with ePRO authority:

NAME	EMPLID	NAME	EMPLID
			<i>\$</i>
Travis Lampinen			

EMPLID

EMPLID

SP Managers w/o ePRO authority:

IAME	EMPLID	NAME	EMPLID

Project Manager (Travel Approver):

NAME	EMPLID	

Dept Rep:

	NAME	EMPLID	NAME	EMPLID	
. POS	T AWARD NOTIFICATIONS				
oiect S	Setup Notifications (Optional): Identify peo	ple to be notified	by SRAS) when project is set up	or modified, in addition to the	
and Co	ontact shown at top of page 1:				
	Name		Email Address		
ileston	e Notifications (Optional): Identify people	to be included on	report due-date reminder emails (milestone notifications), in	
<i>ileston</i> dition to	e Notifications (Optional): Identify people o the PI shown at top of first page:	to be included on	report due-date reminder emails (milestone notifications), in	
<i>ileston</i> Idition to	e Notifications (Optional): Identify people o the PI shown at top of first page: Name	to be included on	report due-date reminder emails (Email Address	milestone notifications), in	
i leston Idition to	e Notifications (Optional): Identify people o the PI shown at top of first page: Name	to be included on	report due-date reminder emails (Email Address	milestone notifications), in	
dition t	e Notifications (Optional): Identify people o the PI shown at top of first page: Name	to be included on	report due-date reminder emails (Email Address	milestone notifications), in	

GAP Proposal: Splinting for Treatment of Peripheral Artery Disease

Dr.XXXXX, Biomedical Sciences, FSU College of Medicine Dr. XXXXXXX, Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, FAMU-FSU College of Engineering

The Problem

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) affects 8.5 million Americans and over 200 million worldwide. PAD prevalence increases with age, affecting 12-30% of patients age 65 or older. Patients with peripheral arterial disease (PAD) often have walking impairment due to insufficient oxygen supply to skeletal muscle, most often in the lower leg. Although walking programs are safe and may improve symptoms, they are often difficult to adhere to over the long-term, especially in elderly patients in whom frailty, arthritis, and other symptoms limit patient compliance. There is an unmet need to provide relief from symptoms and improve mobility and quality of life in PAD patients, even if walking has become problematic or not possible.

A program of supervised exercise training is recommended as an initial treatment. However, participation rates are low in elderly PAD patients, and as many as 1/3 of these older patients drop out of exercise programs because they are difficult or painful to maintain. This lack of exercise allows progression of PAD, leading to surgery, amputation, or death. In the US and Europe, PAD is responsible for 240,000 amputations each year. As the American population ages, PAD rates are climbing.

The Potential Solution

Our initial clinical study demonstrates improved patient symptoms when a therapeutic splint is used at home by the patient. The splint holds the foot at a 15 degree angle relative to the leg, and is worn for 30 minutes a day each day for a 4 week period. This short period of stress induces microchanges and growth within the muscle tissue. Our study links this passive stretching to measured improvements in blood vessel formation and improvement of pain levels during walking. Improved walking leads to better outcomes in many other aspects of health.

Our Novel Technology

Our proposed splinting device holds the foot in the desired position at 15 degrees relative to the calf. This angle may be pre-set and the flexion accomplished after the brace is put on. The splint is low cost, safe and is designed for at-home self-application which renders is suitable for a broad range of patients with PAD. Currently, no brace exists for automated control of ankle flexion. There are also no static stretching devices indicated for the treatment of PAD. Our splint is strapped to the leg and a programmed angle setting to move the foot to the 15 degrees of flexion of the foot relative to the calf. The patient can use the splint at home from their bed or couch, or within a hospital or specialized care facility.

The convenience, effectiveness, safety and low cost of this simple intervention render it attractive for commercialization.

The Market

Our results and the existing body of research suggest that the splint therapy would be inexpensive to implement and broadly applicable to this large, growing market. Patients' greater capacity for exercise leads to an overall improvement in health and life for elderly or frail patients in many cases. Given these far-reaching benefits and minimal incremental cost/expertise required to implement our procedure, we believe that following further clinical trials/research, this intervention and device will ultimately be widely adopted by physicians, benefit patients, and be lucrative for FSU – below, we provide a high-level summary of the facts upon which we predicate that assertion.

The US Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services reports that approximately 8.5 million Americans above the age of 40 are affected by PAD – this is after revascularization or false-negative results with ABI, which is in-line with estimates of prevalence of PAD based on nationwide claims data from large employers' health plans and from Medicare and Medicaid programs between 2003 and 2008. Of this 8.5 million, 60% experience leg pain as a symptom, leaving a population of patients 'at risk' of noncompliance with a walking program at approximately 5.1 million. Private practitioners estimate that 30% of elderly PAD patients fail to adhere to their prescribed walking regimens specifically because of this leg pain. This has also been borne out in multiple academic studies¹, where the rate of program drop-out due to leg pain has been as high as 20%, irregardless of age. We conservatively estimate that 2 million patients fail to complete their prescribed walking programs due to the physical discomfort accompanying walking, etc. These rates are similar for both at-home and supervised populations, indicating that the level of pain remains a robust predictor of walking program success.

A \$100 marginal expenditure per patient² associated with incorporating our splint and other modifications could significantly reduce the rate of program drop-out for a population as great as 1 million. It would also extend the potential duration of patient walking during their exercise therapy³ and generally improve efficacy of PAD treatment programs for the broader population of 8.5 million. Given that this a fraction of the cost necessary for these programs, it seems likely that private insurers and government providers of care would be likely to both cover and recommend (if not require) patients utilize such a splint as a part of their treatment program – as such, we believe the potential addressable market could generate as much as \$50 million per year in the US alone.

¹ https://www.cardiosmart.org/News-and-Events/2011/02/Exercise-for-PAD-Trying-it-at-Home

² The retail cost of the splint can be seen <u>here</u>.

³ A <u>meta-analysis</u> conducted by Lane et al concluded that "The optimal exercise program for improving claudication pain distances in patients with peripheral arterial disease uses intermittent walking to near-maximal pain during a program of at least 6 months"

Potential Commercialization Partners

We have contacted a number of physicians who enthusiastically support this endeavor, as evidenced by the letters included in the Supporting Letters of Interest section of this proposal. As key opinion leaders, their endorsement is a critical component to establishing commercialization partners within either the vascular intervention market or the orthopedic brace market.

We believe companies currently offering device-oriented PAD treatments would be interested, such as Medtronic, Johnson and Johnson, and ProMedica. Additionally, we expect to attract attention from traditional orthopedic brace companies because our splint design would allow access to a huge, entirely new cardiovascular market. These companies include DonJoy Aircast, Breg, Hanger, and FLA Orthopedics, among others.

Proposed Use of GAP Funding

The GAP funding would be used to pay for the hardware, software and research labor needed to develop a working prototype of the therapeutic splint.

The Phase I design incorporates best practices in patient interactions based on our previous study. It is simple and accommodates a large range of patient body types. Materials are inexpensive. ABS plastic in an additive manufacturing model will allow rapid prototyping of the device geometry while other materials are considered for strength and functionality. Hinges present in the heel area of the splint allow various dorsiflexion angles to be measured and held. Phase II allows feedback to the design process by allowing patients to try and give feedback on the device. The Phase III model involves automation of the dorsiflexion angle. With the push of a button on the brace or a remote, the angle is adjusted via a motor. Other features may include bracing behind the knee to ensure the limb is straightened during the treatment period.

Professional Biography

XXXXXX, Ph.D. Biomedical Sciences FSU College of Medicine

Professional Biography

XXXXXXXX, Ph.D. Chemical and Biomedical Engineering FAMU-FSU College of Engineering

Diagrams and Device Drawings

XXXXXX, Ph.D. Biomedical Sciences FSU College of Medicine

XXXXXXXX, Ph.D. Chemical and Biomedical Engineering FAMU-FSU College of Engineering



(exaggerated angle shown for emphasis)

Cost and Activities Plan

XXXXXXX, Ph.D. Biomedical Sciences FSU College of Medicine

XXXXXXX, Ph.D. Chemical and Biomedical Engineering FAMU-FSU College of Engineering

Phased Implementation Plan

The GAP funding would be used to pay for the hardware, software and research labor needed to develop a working prototype of the therapeutic splint.

Phase I. Development of manual clinical therapeutic splint prototype

<u>Objective</u>: The Phase I design incorporates best practices in patient interactions based on our previous study. It is simple and accommodates a large range of patient body types. Materials are inexpensive. ABS plastic in an additive manufacturing model will allow rapid prototyping of the device. Hinges present in the heel area of the splint allow various dorsiflexion angles to be measured and held.

Timetable: January 5, 2018 – March 17, 2018

Required funding: \$8,160

Failure point: Ability of the splint to withstand stresses of 15 degrees dorsiflexion of the foot.

If successful, proceed to Phases II and III.

Phase II. Therapeutic splint use-case tests.

Objective: "Customer" survey and use-case tests to refine splint design.

Timetable: March 17, 2018 – May 15, 2018

Required funding: \$2,280

Failure point: N/A

The design feedback from Phase II should be incorporated as design changes to Phase III.

Phase III. Development of automated therapeutic splint.

<u>Objective</u>: This model involves automation of the dorsiflexion angle to allow the physician to prescribe a value or series of values over the treatment period. With the push of a button on the brace or a remote, the angle is adjusted via a motor. Other features may include bracing behind the knee to ensure the limb is straightened during the treatment period.

Timetable: March 17, 2018 – December 31, 2018

Required funding: \$26,240

<u>Failure point</u>: Splint should vary the angle of the splint to a set value and withstand stresses due to up to 15 degrees dorsiflexion of the foot.

If successful, move to find manufacturing partner.

Supporting Letters of Interest

XXXXXX, Ph.D. Biomedical Sciences FSU College of Medicine

XXXXXXXX, Ph.D. Chemical and Biomedical Engineering FAMU-FSU College of Engineering